

## JOHN NEW BOOKS.

## More About Thurlow Weed.

The autobiography of Thurlow Weed, which appeared last summer filled a large octavo volume of some 600 pages, and brought down the story of the writer to the period when it seemed to be especially interesting to the public, that is to say, at which he ceased to exercise any direct or weighty influence upon national or even State politics. There was, to be sure, in that book at least one noteworthy omission; but, on the other hand, there was a great deal of surplusage, and the title of a *Memorial of Thurlow Weed*, by Thurlow Weed (Houghton, Mifflin & Co.). With the exception of the account here given of Thurlow Weed's relations to Horace Greeley, to which only the most cursory allusion had been made in the autobiography, and of some incidents connected with his life, the book was unenlightened in the preceding narrative. Mr. Barnes has added little of substantial value or of general interest to the materials already used, and has therefore laid himself open to the charge of perpetrating an unwarranted and egregious piece of bookmaking. He fails to offer any account of the following: the long story already told more crisply and effectively by the subject of the memoir. Indeed, the autobiography itself might, by the addition of digressive and uninteresting matter, have been materially compressed with advantage to the reader, and all that Mr. Barnes has to add, that was worth adding, might have been put in a few supplementary chapters of the original book. We also feel constrained to say that Mr. Barnes seems disqualified for any form of writing which calls for the aptitudes and the equipment of the historian by ignorance or neglect of the fundamental rules of evidence. We have contained the book for a scientific of the proof which he has made in a court of justice for his libelous imputation of a silly and disgraceful anonymous letter to ex-Senator Benjamin. When this precious epistle was published some weeks ago from advanced sheets, we took for granted that a serious if not a severe rebuke would be made in the month before us to set forth the grounds on which such a charge had been framed. Inasmuch as no competent testimony whatever is forthcoming, we are forced to pronounce the charge a libel, and the advanced publication of the foolish anonymous letter a more advertising trick which will probably prove of little benefit to the deceiver.

Readers of the autobiography were surprised and disappointed to find no reference to the dissolution of the political firm of Seward, Wood and Greeley—a dissolution which blighted the fairest hopes of the senior partner at the Chicago Convention in 1860, and by which the junior partner found himself more or less involved in his efforts for a seat in the United States Senate, and his own subsequent contest for the Presidency. A review of the originally intimate cooperation and subsequent alienation of these three conspicuous members of the Whig and Republican parties in the State of New York might, as we have said, have formed a useful appendix to Mr. Weed's autobiography, and would have been a principal excuse for the present memoir. Mr. Barnes cannot be credited with having given us much information on this subject which had not already appeared in print, but he has collected from contemporary newspapers and letters the recollections of the several parties to the controversy, and such collateral comments as might be thought to shed some light upon the vicissitudes of the quarrel. So far, however, as these comments consist of extracts from the expression of mere opinion in newspaper articles, they seem to us wholly out of place in a book of his historical pretensions. As to the main incidents and phases of a controversy which, however trivial and unimportant it may seem to posterity, had momentous political consequences, these may be briefly indicated by noting here and there some of the best authenticated features of the story which Mr. Barnes relates with, perhaps, excessive amplitude of detail.

No candid person, we imagine, can read without a good deal of sympathy the remarkable letter from Mr. Greeley to Mr. Seward, dated the November edition of 1864, in which the writer formally served notice of the dissolution of the political firm of Seward, Wood and Greeley by the withdrawal of the junior partner. It would be absurd to say that Mr. Weed was either morally or intellectually qualified to play the part of arbiter between the two prominent protagonists in the tremendous movement, whose object was to awaken and instruct the popular conscience, and to array the mass of the Whig party in the great State of New York against the further extension of slavery in the Territories. It is certain that by the assistance which the editor of the *Tribune* so bravely rendered to the cause of freedom, Mr. Weed played a part in the tremendous movement, but he had fully earned his share of the honor and the emolument that accrued to the co-partnership. The fact, however, was that in 1864 Seward and Weed were in debt, and never recovered from it, and that the latter was so much as the offer of nomination to any office of dignity or profit, except in one instance, when the nomination was an empty and heartless compliment. On one occasion, when the Democratic party was in power, "I was honored," says Mr. Greeley, "by the nomination for State printer. When we were asked to have a State printer to print the laws, the place went to Wood." Referring to the complete failure of his partners to recognize the services which he had rendered in the Harrison campaign, he says, with an odd blending of bitterness and self-respect: "I asked nothing, expected nothing out of you, Gov. Seward, ought to have asked that of me, but he did not. I was not likely that the ranking sense of injustice would be allayed by the creation of a new office for the express purpose of rewarding Mr. H. J. Raymond, who had been a far less devoted and useful coadjutor than he."

It is said that Mr. Weed did not see Mr. Greeley's letter to Mr. Seward until it was published in the *Tribune*, and that he was then made on this are pronounced by an anonymous newspaper writer, quoted by Mr. Barnes, "dignified, truthful, severe, patriotic, and in such contrast with the furious self-seeking of Mr. Greeley that it cannot be read without giving the impression that the writer was a man of a high and noble character, and that he was not likely to be deceived by the flattery of the masses." Now this is very pretty talk, but would any one who knows what was the mainspring of Thurlow Weed's public life over that it lay in his mouth to utter every day in a public place, and to be repeated by the general tenderness and audience of laudable, or to repine because in one instance his lamb should have proved a little tougher? With how ill a grace does this pretended sorrow that his partner should not have proved "indifferent alike to the temptations of money and office" come from a man who, while, for reasons of his own, he refrained from seeking or holding office, was notoriously eager to extract all the money possible from politics and from political journalism. Is there anything more preposterous than to favorably contrast the conduct of a man whose large private fortune was a monument of what he had done in every day's life, with the conduct of Horace Greeley? Mr. Weed's affected regret that somebody else should fall short of the high ideal of

a public spirited reformer, for which he himself in his own practice evinced a cynical indifference, was about as pertinent as the charge of "base ingratitude" launched at Chicago by Mr. A. B. Dickinson at Mr. Greeley who, as a matter of fact, had never received anything from his political partners but lip recognition and unscrupulous rebuffs. In 1864 Mr. Weed decided it inexpedient to gratify Mr. Greeley's wish for the Whig nomination for Governor. He was declined to aid, resigning, of course, some of the plausible reasons for which an expert wirepuller is never at a loss—his old and faithful friend in securing the nomination for the far humbler post of Lieutenant-Governor, and assisted at the State Convention in conferring the latter prize on Mr. Greeley's personal and political rival, the editor of the *New York Times*, Mr. Greeley would not have been a man, he would have been a don, had he forgotten or condoned Mr. Weed's share in this galling and gratuitous offense. The action, nevertheless, which he took at Chicago in 1860 was prompted not merely by his own selfishness, but by a sincere conviction that the Whig party was unequal to the weight of responsibility which it had already assumed, and which it could not have foreseen, would fall upon a Republican President. No intelligent man, who is familiar with the history of the negotiations between the Confederate commissioners and the Republican administration, and who carefully considers the attitude of the Secretary of State, will refuse to concede to Mr. Greeley in congratulating the defenders of the Union that Mr. Seward was not nominated at Chicago. It may be that much precious time would have been saved by choosing Mr. Seward as the Republican candidate more conspicuously and avowedly in sympathy with the anti-slavery movement than was Mr. Lincoln; but there was danger that such a step would result in the election of Mr. Douglas. There never was any doubt as to which of the two men, Lincoln and Seward, would be the first to yield to the vehement outcry of anti-slavery sentiment to the exclusion of the Federal Government acquired a superior moral status to the Confederacy before the end of English and Continental opinion.

It is, we believe, undisputed that Mr. Greeley belongs the credit of defeating Gov. Seward at Chicago. He was the man who, by the discordant elements of opposition to drop their personal preferences and unite upon a candidate who would conciliate instead of alarming the Democratic wing of the Republican organization, yet who could at the same time be trusted to carry out with the strong hand the dominant purposes of the party that he represented. Mr. Seward was, however, doubt on the power of the New York candidate to carry his own State, foiled the plans of Mr. Seward's friends for securing on the second or third ballot the votes of Indiana and Pennsylvania. In ascribing, therefore, to Horace Greeley the ruin of the hopes of a lifetime, Mr. Barnes was entirely justified, by the facts, though it is hardly true that Mr. Weed is honest, or means coincided with the interests of the country. During the remainder of his life he never missed the opportunity of striking a revengeful blow at the editor of the *Tribune*, and although his support of President Johnson's "policy" and his connection with the latter, however trivial and unimportant, were not his own, he was not without influence in the country. During the remainder of his life he never missed the opportunity of striking a revengeful blow at the editor of the *Tribune*, and although his support of President Johnson's "policy" and his connection with the latter, however trivial and unimportant, were not his own, he was not without influence in the country.

This was the charge of the life of Thurlow Weed which needed to be added to his autobiography. There are also some hitherto unpublished anecdotes and reminiscences in Mr. Barnes's book which would be worth quoting, had not the author, with the odd indifference to evidence which characterized his treatment of the subject, been so ready to believe in too many instances to give acceptable authority for his recitals.

## Stanley's Lectures on the Eastern Church.

The student of the evolution of Christianity will be grateful for the reprinting by the Messrs. Scribner of the *Lectures on the Eastern Church*, delivered by Dr. STANLEY while discharging the duties of Professor of Ecclesiastical History at Oxford. It is true that the comprehensive scheme of inquiry originally conceived but partially carried out, the author's plans having been interrupted by his appointment to the Deanery of Westminster. Among the branches of his subject which he has been unable to investigate may be particularly named those fragments or offshoots of the Eastern Church which survive in the Nestorian, the Armenian, the Georgian, the Jacobite, and the Coptic, and the Ethiopian Churches. On the other hand, Dean Stanley, besides going over much of the ground already traversed by Milman, had time, before he was prevented from pursuing these researches, to examine two remarkable and still imperfectly understood aspects of his theme, to wit, Mohammedanism, considered in its relations to the Christian Church, and the Eastern Church, from its foundation by Greek missionaries to its reconstruction by Peter the Great. It is by directing special attention to the lectures in which these topics are discussed that we can best illustrate the breadth of view and tolerant spirit by which Dean Stanley's contributions to the history of Christianity are so forcibly commended.

How noteworthy it is, for example, to see an Anglican divine acknowledge frankly that Islam is the only one of the higher religions which has hitherto made great and continuing progress in the vast continent of Africa, and this, too, although at the date of his lecture, the progress of Islam in Africa was, to all appearances, fairly rooted in what were known till recently as the States of Barbary, while Eastern Christianity had long been planted in Egypt and Abyssinia. Reminding us that Mohammed is placed by Dante in the *Inferno* "among the chief heretics," Dr. Stanley insists that Mohammedanism should be regarded as a heresy, and not as a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme Protestantism or Puritanism of the East. "Whether or not the iconoclasm of the seventh century in Constantinople had any direct connection with the early heresies," he remarks, "it is not clear, but it is certain that Mohammedanism was a heresy, and not a distinct and antagonistic religion. Islam was, in fact, the extreme